Journal of Novel Applied Sciences

Available online at www.jnasci.org ©2013 JNAS Journal-2013-2-4/94-100 ISSN 2322-5149 ©2013 JNAS



Study of potassium and nitrogen fertilizer levels on the yield of sugar beet in jolge cultivar

Mehran Salami^{*} and Samad Saadat

Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Ardabil branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran

Corresponding author Email : Mehran Salami

ABSTRACT: To investigate the effect of fertilizers on calcareous soils of experimental field research was conducted in Meshkin-shahr to study the effects of different rates of nitrogen (143, 214 & 285 kg N ha-1) and potassium (0, 57 & 114 kg K2O ha-1) fertilization on yield, quality and nutrient contents of sugar beet grown on sandy calcareous soil. In this split plot design, the main plots were assigned to levels of N fertilizer and K levels were arranged to random as the sub-plots. The results showed that increasing N and K rates significantly increased root and foliage fresh and dry weight and sugar yield (ton ha-1) of sugar beet plants. Adding the highest level of K (114 kg K2O ha-1) under different rates of N significantly increased sucrose contents, recoverable sugar yield (ton ha-1) and some quality traits. Adding the highest level of N and K in both root and foliage of sugar beet over two seasons. Increasing N level up to 285 kg N ha-1 (under 0.0 kg K2O ha-1) significantly increased impurities (Na, K & α-amino-N) and sugar loss percentage. In crux, N fertilizer at a level of 285 kg N/fed accompanied with 114 kg K2O ha-1 were the most effective in improving yield, quality and nutritional status of sugar beet grown in a sandy calcareous soil.

Keywords: Sugar beet, Yield, Potassium, Nitrogen.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to give a brief taxonomy of an important group of programming problems which Sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) is one of the most important crops in Ardebil. It is classified as a plant of high potassium (K) requiring crop (Johanson and et al, 1971). Sugar beet is one of the most important industrial plants in Ardebil province. Products derived from this plant have been used. Due to the increased use of chemical fertilizers are used too much product. Therefore, it is important to know the proper use of supplements To protect farmland and prevent poisoning Soil is important Fertilizer is considered as a limiting factor for obtaining high yield and quality (Ouda, 2002) Thus, application of suitable fertilizers, such as nitrogen (N) and potassium (K) may be one of the favorable factors for the production of sugar beet. Many investigators have confirmed the role of N and K in increasing the yield and quality of sugar beet by enhancing the biosynthesis of organic metabolites and improving nutritional status (Etemadi, 2000; O'shea and et al, 2009). Ibrahim et al. (2002) found that the highest sucrose percentage and juice purity were achieved with K application up to 228.5 kg K2O ha-1. The beneficial effect of K fertilization on growth, yield and quality of sugar beet was emphasized by previous studies (Abdi and Sanati, 1992; Sobhani and et al, 1992; Etrat abd et al, 1998; Etemadi, 2000; Ouda, 2002). Sugar beet yield and quality are dramatically influenced by the level of available N. Residual and fertilizer N levels allowing adequate top growth and maximize root growth and extractable sucrose concentration are desired. However, sucrose yield decreases by over-fertilizing sugar beet with more N than needed for maximum sucrose production (Hassanin and Elayas, 2000). An adequate supply of N is essential for optimum yield but excess N may result in an increase in vield of roots with lower sucrose content and juice purity. Yield increased with applied but TSS, sucrose%, purity% and recoverable sugar yield per ha were significantly decreased as N level increased (Lauer, 1995; Attia, 2004; sarabi and ahmadi, 1996 & Attia, 2004; Cooke and Scott, 1993). Contrary to this, Horn and Fürstenfeld (Horn and

Fürstenfeld, 2001) showed that the uptake of N by sugar beet plants increased by increasing the application level of N, while the sugarcontent and juice purity decreased. The direct effect of K on yield is less marked than of N, which itself constitutes a part of the organic matter synthesized during growth. Also, K uptake is much affected by N level and in most cases; K is more effective at higher N level, which is the case especially to modern high yielding varieties (Etemadi, 2000; Mäck and et al, 2007). The interaction between N and K were small at low rates, but became more important at high rates and the best returns from one nutrient were obtained at high rates of others. Root crops especially, have a high K requirement. It is commonly observed that root or tube enlargement is depressed relatively more than leaf development, when K is in shor supply (Inal, 1997). In Egypt many investigations revealed that 214-262 kg N ha-1 exhibited the highest root quality, technological characters, root and sugar yields and minimized sugar losses to molasses (Hassanin and Elayas, 2000; Moustafa and Darwish, 2001; Ashraf, 2007; Hilali, 2005). The aim of the present study was to determine the effect N and K fertilization on growth root yield and quality as well as nutrient content of sugar beet plant grown on a sandy calcareous soil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To study the effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilizers on sugar beet 3 replications in a randomized block experiment Meshkin-shahr research scholar in the field In the 2011-2012 crop year was done. The study consisted of two experiments in which research field season was conducted in Meshkin-shahr to study effect of three levels of N (143, 214 & 285 kg N ha-1) and three levels of K (0, 57 & 114 kg K2O ha-1) on growth, yield, quality and nutrient content of sugar beet plant grown on a sandy calcareous soil. Some physical and chemical properties of a representative soil sample used in the experimental soil were determined before preparation according to Jackson (Hassanin and Elayas, 2000) (Table I). The recommended dose of phosphorus fertilizer was applied at a level 476 kg calcium superphosphate ha-1 (15.5% P2O5) during preparation. A split- plot design with three replications was used. The main plots were assigned to 3 levels of nitrogen fertilizer (143, 214 & 285 kg N ha-1) with three potassium levels (0, 57 & 114 kg K2O ha-1) were arranged at random as the sub-plots. The area of each plot was 10.5 m2 (3.5 m length x 3 m width), with six ridges 50 apart, 3.5 m in length. Sowing took place on 2nd and 5th, respectively. Seeds of multigerm (Montebianco cv.) were sown in hills 25 cm apart at using 3-4 seeds per hill. Plants were thinned to one plant per hill after 40 days from planting (at 4-6 leaf stage). Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5%N) with the abovementioned levels were added in two equal doses. The first one was applied after thinning and the other one 21 days later. Potassium fertilizer in the form of potassium sulphate (48% K2O) with the abovementioned levels were applied in one dose after thinning. The other cultural practices were carried out as recommended. At maturity stage (195 days from sowing), ten plants were taken at random from each plot. The foliage and roots were separated dried at 70oC for 3 days and at 105oC for 2 h in air forceddraft oven, to determine their dry weight. Dry plant samples were ground and chemically analyzed for nutrient content. Total N was analyzed by semi-micro Kieldahl procedure (Jackson, 1958). Phosphorus and K were wetdigested in a 2:1; nitric: perchloric acid mixture and were determined by colorimetry and flame photometry methods (Jackson, 1958), respectively. Iron and Mn were determined in the digests using a GBC model 300 atomic absorption spectrophotometer. At harvest (205 days from sowing), plants of each plot were harvest to determine roots and foliage yield (ton ha-1). A sample of 25 kg of roots were taken at random from each plot and sent to the Beet Laboratory at Abo-Korkas Sugar Factory to determine root quality. Alpha amino nitrogen (α - amino N), sodium (Na) and potassium (K) concentrations were estimated according to the procedure of sugar company by auto analyzer described by (Bhador and et al, 2010). Sucrose (expressed as Pol %) was estimated in fresh samples of sugar beet root by using Saccharometer according to the method described by A.O.A.C. (Ahadi and Sobhani, 2005). Sugar loss was calculated using the following formula: Sugar loss % = 0.29 + 0.343 (K + Na) + 0.094 α amino N. Sugar recovery % (SR %) was calculated using the following equation according to (Bhador and et al, 2010). Sugar recovery % (SR %) = sucrose % - sugar loss%. Recoverable sugar yield (ton ha-1) (RSY) was calculated using the following equation of Mohamed (Mohamed, 2002): Recoverable sugar yield = root yield (ton ha-1) X sugar recovery. Quality index was calculated as (sugar recovery % X 100)/sucrose %. Gross sugar yield (ton ha-1) = root yield (ton ha-1) X sucrose %. Sugar loss yield was computed as: root yield (ton ha-1) X sugar loss. Nutrient uptake was determined as: nutrient concentration X root or foliage dry weight. The analysis of variance was carried out according to Gomez and Gomez (1984) using MSTAT computer software, after testing the homogeneity of the error according to Bartlett's test. Means of the different treatments were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) test at P<0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of nitrogen fertilization rates

With the application of fertilizers, the roots and foliage fresh and dry weights and sugar yield were significantly increased with increasing N fertilizer rates over two seasons (Table II). The increase of root and sugar yield with N fertilizer may be attributed to increased size and number of leaves, which led to increasing leaf area and photosynthetic activities. This was reflected in greater root and sugar production per unit area (Zaifi zadeh and Amjadi, 2001; Elaheii, 2010; Malnou and et al, 2008). Higher rates of N fertilizer (214 & 285 kg N ha-1) had significantly effect on Na and α - amino-N content (mg 100 g-1 beet paste) (Table II). These may be due to the reason that high rate of N increased soluble non-sugar in root juice (impurities) and they interfere with sugar extraction. This was reflected by raising the percentage of sugar losses to molasses and consequently reducing sugar recovery

| 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 seasons | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Soil property | 2008-2009 | 2009-2010 | | | | | | |
| | Patrice size distribution | | | | | | | |
| Sand (%) | 85.4 | 87.2 | | | | | | |
| Silt (%) | 8.7 | 7.2 | | | | | | |
| Clay (%) | 5.9 | 5.6 | | | | | | |
| Texture grade | Sandy | Sandy | | | | | | |
| EC (1:1 extract) (dS m-1) | 1.59 | 1.77 | | | | | | |
| pH (1:1 suspension) | 8.12 | 8.43 | | | | | | |
| Total CaCO3 (%) | 19.96 | 21.15 | | | | | | |
| Organic matter (%) | 0.091 | 0.098 | | | | | | |
| | Soluble Cattions | | | | | | | |
| Ca2+ (meq L-1) | 8.11 | 8.86 | | | | | | |
| Mg2+ (meq L-1) | 5.49 | 5.99 | | | | | | |
| Na+ (meq L-1) | 1.97 | 1.89 | | | | | | |
| K+ (meq L-1) | 0.19 | 0.21 | | | | | | |
| | Soluble Anions | | | | | | | |
| CO3 2- + HCO3 - (meq L-1) | 8.65 | 7.89 | | | | | | |
| Cl- + HCO3 - (meq L-1) | 7.56 | 5.99 | | | | | | |
| NaHCO3-extractable P (ppm) | 4.87 | 6.95 | | | | | | |
| NaOAC-extractable K (ppm) | 58.65 | 63.41 | | | | | | |
| Total nitrogen (%) | 0.019 | 0.022 | | | | | | |
| KCI-extractable N (ppm) | 31.04 | 29.16 | | | | | | |

Table 1. Some physical and chemical properties of a representative soil samples in the experimental site before sowing (0-30 cm depth) in 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 seasons

Data presented in Table III indicated that N and K fertilization rates had highly significant effect in sugar beet quality except for sugar loss percentage. The contents and uptake of N and K in the foliage and roots were significantly increased by increasing N fertilizer up to 214 kg N ha-1 over two seasons. However, Fe and Mn content of roots and foliage were significantly decreased by increasing of N fertilization rates (Table IV & V). This was expected as high N rate enhanced vegetative growth and consequently the absorption of other nutrients (K) to meet the growth demand. A significant decrease in Fe and Mn content of foliage and roots with increasing of N fertilization rates may be attributed to the dilution caused by the high vegetative growth in the presence of the high N fertilization rates (Euroi and et al, 2002; Attia, 2004).

Effect of potassium fertilization rates

Application of K fertilizer at the rate of 114 kg K ha-1, significantly increased all growth attributes and sugar yield (Table II), which could be attributed to the stimulatory effect of K on rate of photosynthesis, as well as, transport of the photosynthetic product from the leaves to the storage root (Ahadi and Sobhani, 2005; El-Ramady, 1997; Bondok, 1999; Ohadi and et al, 2002; Attia, 2004; Elaheii and et al, 2010). Most quality characteristics [sucrose percentage, quality index, SR percentage, RSY & sugar loss yield (ton ha-1)] of sugar beet were significantly increased by increasing nitrogen fertilization rates, except for sugar loss percentage over two seasons (Table III). The highest sucrose percentage (16.86%) was obtained when 114 kg K2O ha-1 was applied with different rate of N fertilization. Only at the highest level of K fertilization (114 kg K2O ha-1), Na and α - amino- N content were significant decreased over two seasons (Table II). These results are agreement with those obtained by Eskandarzadeh (Eskandarzadeh, 1999) and El-Yamani (El-Yamani, 1999). An increase in recoverable sugar yields might be due to the role of K in nutrients uptake and nutritional balance, which increase the biosynthesis of photosynthates (Milford and et al, 2000; Attia, 2004). Data of Tables (IV & V) showed that N and K content and uptake of roots and foliage were significantly increased by and El-Yamani (V & V) showed that N and K content and uptake of roots and foliage were significantly increased by increas

hand, N and K fertilization rates had no effect on P content of roots and foliage over two seasons. The data showed markedly that, the all studied nutrients (N, K, P, Fe & Mn content) of foliage were higher than roots that are related to the essentiality of K to improve photosynthesis. Also, K helps in maintaining a normal balance between carbohydrates and proteins (Moustafa and Darwish, 2001; Monreal and et al, 2003).

Effect of interaction

Both N and K fertilizers had a highly significant effect on productivity traits of sugar beet i.e., roots and foliage fresh and dry weights yield (ton ha-1) over two seasons. Roots, foliage fresh and dry weights were significantly increased with increasing N and K fertilizers rates over two the seasons. The highest values of root and foliage fresh and dry weights yield (69.35 & 16.15 ton ha-1), (11.32 & 2.78 ton ha-1) were obtained from application of 285 kg N and 114 kg K2O ha-1 over two seasons. Gross sugar yield was increased by increasing both N and K fertilization up to 285 kg N with 114 kg K2O ha-1 over two seasons (Table III). Such effect reflects the response of root yield to N and K fertilizer. The highest sugar yield (10.95 ton ha-1) was obtained with the application of 285 kg N with 114 kg K2O ha-1. Similar results were obtained by Shahidi and Khalafi (Shahidi and khalafi, 2010). The results reveal that the effect of N and K fertilization rates on growth of sugar beet plants (roots & foliage fresh & dry weight) was similar to those effects on sugar yield. The importance of sugar beet is not confined only to the sugar produced from it but also to its byproducts. Foliages of sugar beet are considered a good feed source for livestock. Pectin is also produced from the pulp of sugar beet (sanatchi and et al, 2002). It could be noticed that increasing N and K fertilizers rates significantly increased root growth and quality. These results appear to be mainly due to the role of N in developing root dimensions by increased cell division and/or elongation. The positive effect of N fertilizer might be due to the increased efficiency of N-fertilization in building up metabolites translocations from leaves to developing roots, thus increases dry matter accumulation (Euroi and et al, 2002). Increasing N and K fertilization had a significant effect on nutrients content and uptake of roots and foliage over two seasons (table IV &V). Similar results were obtained by Zaifizadeh and Amiadi (Zaifi zadeh and Amiadi, 2001). The white sugar vield is an important yield parameter of sugar beet, because it is final useful form of sugar that the consumers use. Most of the quality characters i.e., sucrose percentage, quality index, SR percentage, RSY and sugar loss yield were significantly.

| Fertilizer rate (kg ha ⁻¹) | | Root fresh weight (ton ha ⁻¹) | Foliage fresh weight (ton ha ⁻¹) | Root dry weight (ton ha ⁻¹) | Foliage dry weight (ton ha ⁻¹) | Na content (mmol 100 g ⁻¹ beet paste) | K content (mmol 100 g ⁻¹ beet paste) | a- amino-N (mmo 100 g ⁻¹ beet paste) |
|---|-----|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| N | K20 | _ | | | | | | |
| 143 | 0.0 | 48.39 | 10.44 | 8.09 | 1.95 | 1.44 | 5.06 | 3.61 |
| | 57 | 52.28 | 11.01 | 9.07 | 1.99 | 1.23 | 5.17 | 3.41 |
| | 114 | 54.66 | 11.30 | 9.43 | 2.04 | 1.10 | 5.24 | 3.11 |
| 214 | 0.0 | 55.12 | 10.73 | 9.66 | 2.12 | 1.84 | 5.11 | 4.18 |
| | 57 | 59.83 | 13.22 | 10.94 | 2.41 | 1.63 | 5.29 | 4.00 |
| | 114 | 60.50 | 13.53 | 11.10 | 2.49 | 1.34 | 5.41 | 3.33 |
| 285 | 0.0 | 63.18 | 12.25 | 10.91 | 2.73 | 1.89 | 4.84 | 4.75 |
| | 57 | 67.99 | 15.77 | 11.19 | 2.79 | 1.69 | 4.91 | 4.25 |
| | 114 | 69.75 | 16.15 | 11.32 | 2.78 | 1.47 | 5.01 | 3.97 |
| Mean of | 0.0 | 55.56 | 11.14 | 9.56 | 2.27 | 1.72 | 5.00 | 4.18 |
| K ₂ O | 57 | 60.03 | 13.33 | 10.40 | 2.40 | 1.52 | 5.12 | 3.88 |
| | 114 | 61.63 | 13.65 | 10.62 | 2.44 | 1.30 | 5.22 | 3.48 |
| LSD | Ν | 7.11 | 1.31 | 1.05 | 0.42 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.39 |
| (P<0.05) | K | 1.44 | 1.41 | 0.59 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| 80 | NK | 2.50 | 0.57 | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.10 |

Table 2. Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization rates on yield and impurity components of sugar beet plant grown on a sandy calcareous soil over two seasons

| Table 3. | . Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization rates on some quality parameter of sugar beet plants grown on a sandy of | calcareous soil |
|----------|---|-----------------|
| | over two seasons | |

| Fertilizer rate (kg ha ⁻¹) | | Sucrose (%) | Sugar loss (%) | Quality index (%) | S.R. (%) | Gross sugar yield (ton ha ⁻¹) | R.S.Y. (ton ha ⁻¹) | Sugar loss yield (ton ha ⁻¹) | |
|---|------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| N | K ₂ O | 31 | | | | | | | |
| 143 | 0.0 | 15.39 | 2.80 | 81.81 | 12.56 | 7.43 | 6.26 | 1.36 | |
| | 57 | 16.34 | 2.80 | 82.84 | 13.53 | 8.54 | 7.07 | 1.48 | |
| | 114 | 16.86 | 2.76 | 83.63 | 14.09 | 9.23 | 7.38 | 1.50 | |
| 214 | 0.0 | 14.69 | 3.07 | 79.13 | 11.62 | 8.10 | 6.41 | 1.69 | |
| | 57 | 15.77 | 3.19 | 80.84 | 12.76 | 9.43 | 7.63 | 1.91 | |
| | 114 | 16.03 | 2.92 | 81.97 | 13.25 | 9.69 | 8.03 | 1.76 | |
| 285 | 0.0 | 14.32 | 3.03 | 78.81 | 11.25 | 9.05 | 7.10 | 1.92 | |
| | 57 | 15.20 | 2.95 | 80.58 | 12.25 | 10.33 | 8.33 | 2.02 | |
| | 114 | 15.68 | 2.89 | 81.63 | 12.85 | 10.95 | 9.15 | 2.01 | |
| Mean of | 0.0 | 14.80 | 2.97 | 79.92 | 11.81 | 8.20 | 6.60 | 1.66 | |
| K_2O | 57 | 15.77 | 2.98 | 81.42 | 12.85 | 9.44 | 7.68 | 1.80 | |
| | 114 | 16.19 | 2.85 | 82.41 | 13.40 | 9.95 | 8.18 | 1.75 | |
| LSD | N | 0.71 | - | 1.70 | 0.63 | 1.09 | 0.93 | 0.30 | |
| (P<0.05) | K | 0.46 | 2 | 0.58 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.12 | |
| Second Second Second | N.K | 0.78 | - | 1.00 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.65 | 0.22 | |

(-) not significant

| Fertilizer | r rate | 8 | F | oots nutri | ents concentratio | n | Roots nutrients uptake | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| (kg ha ⁻¹) | | N (%) | K (%) | P (%) | Fe (mg kg ⁻¹) | Mn (mg kg ⁻¹) | N (kg ha ⁻¹) | K (kg ha ⁻¹) | P (kg ha ⁻¹) | Fe (g ha ⁻¹) | Mn (g ha ⁻¹) | |
| N | K20 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 143 | 0.0 | 0.55 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 52.50 | 45.00 | 45.70 | 60.81 | 8.10 | 424.71 | 364.02 | |
| | 57 | 0.59 | 0.83 | 0.12 | 51.65 | 41.65 | 52.96 | 74.85 | 10.83 | 468.27 | 377.59 | |
| | 114 | 0.60 | 0.87 | 0.11 | 49.15 | 38.65 | 56.53 | 81.99 | 10.24 | 463.27 | 364.14 | |
| 214 | 0.0 | 0.58 | 0.90 | 0.11 | 49.35 | 37.35 | 55.34 | 86.28 | 10.59 | 476.00 | 360.10 | |
| | 57 | 0.66 | 0.98 | 0.11 | 48.35 | 38.50 | 71.52 | 106.63 | 12.02 | 528.24 | 420.78 | |
| | 114 | 0.68 | 1.05 | 0.10 | 50.35 | 41.35 | 75.45 | 116.51 | 11.07 | 558.47 | 458.75 | |
| 285 | 0.0 | 0.74 | 1.03 | 0.11 | 48.15 | 33.50 | 80.21 | 112.46 | 12.02 | 525.62 | 365.69 | |
| | 57 | 0.78 | 1.18 | 0.10 | 47.00 | 33.50 | 87.23 | 131.97 | 11.19 | 525.75 | 374.85 | |
| | 114 | 0.79 | 1.22 | 0.09 | 45.00 | 31.00 | 89.49 | 137.45 | 10.23 | 509.32 | 350.93 | |
| Mean of | 0.0 | 0.62 | 0.89 | 0.11 | 50.00 | 38.60 | 60.37 | 86.51 | 10.24 | 475.41 | 363.31 | |
| K ₂ O | 57 | 0.68 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 49.00 | 37.90 | 70.57 | 104.48 | 11.31 | 507.42 | 391.04 | |
| | 114 | 0.69 | 1.05 | 0.10 | 48.15 | 37.00 | 73.90 | 111.98 | 10.05 | 510.35 | 391.27 | |
| LSD | N | 0.05 | 0.11 | 2 | 1.04 | 1.72 | 6.94 | 14.84 | 0.12 | 27.00 | 32.75 | |
| (P<0.05) | K | 0.04 | 0.06 | - | 0.60 | 1.16 | 3.74 | 2.57 | 0.09 | 25.15 | 11.95 | |
| a 6 | N.K | 0.08 | 0.18 | | 1.43 | 1.31 | 5.17 | 6.65 | 0.18 | 43.00 | 29.28 | |

Table 4. Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization rate on the nutrients concentration and uptake by sugar beet roots grown on a sandy calcareous soil over two seasons

(-) not significant

Table 5. Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization rate on the nutrients concentration and uptake by sugar beet foliage grown on a sandy calcareous soil over two seasons

| Fertilizer | r rate | 545 | Fo | liage nutr | ients concentrat | ion | Foliage nutrients uptake | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| (kg ha ⁻¹) | | N (%) | K (%) | P (%) | Fe (mg kg ⁻¹) | Mn (mg kg ⁻¹) | N (kg ha ⁻¹) | K (kg ha ⁻¹) | P (kg ha ⁻¹) | Fe (g ha ⁻¹) | Mn (g ha ⁻¹) | |
| N | K20 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 143 | 0.0 | 1.96 | 3.78 | 0.25 | 236.50 | 130.20 | 38.22 | 73.66 | 4.88 | 461.18 | 254.07 | |
| | 57 | 2.10 | 3.65 | 0.28 | 245.15 | 122.35 | 41.69 | 73.19 | 5.48 | 487.85 | 243.12 | |
| | 114 | 2.03 | 3.31 | 0.26 | 243.70 | 114.20 | 41.41 | 67.36 | 5.36 | 497.15 | 232.41 | |
| 214 | 0.0 | 2.07 | 3.47 | 0.26 | 236.80 | 104.50 | 43.88 | 73.19 | 5.60 | 502.02 | 221.46 | |
| | 57 | 2.10 | 3.60 | 0.24 | 242.00 | 112.65 | 50.49 | 86.51 | 5.83 | 583.22 | 270.85 | |
| | 114 | 2.20 | 3.71 | 0.25 | 245.85 | 119.15 | 54.66 | 92.47 | 6.19 | 612.17 | 296.31 | |
| 285 | 0.0 | 2.23 | 3.61 | 0.25 | 231.65 | 97.35 | 60.74 | 98.28 | 6.67 | 632.40 | 265.37 | |
| | 57 | 2.13 | 3.50 | 0.23 | 233.15 | 96.50 | 59.29 | 97.34 | 6.43 | 650.49 | 268.71 | |
| | 114 | 2.14 | 3.63 | 0.23 | 218.15 | 89.15 | 59.49 | 101.24 | 6.31 | 606.46 | 248.23 | |
| Mean of | 0.0 | 2.09 | 3.62 | 0.26 | 235.00 | 110.65 | 47.33 | 81.76 | 5.79 | 533.45 | 251.18 | |
| K ₂ O | 57 | 2.11 | 3.58 | 0.25 | 240.10 | 110.50 | 50.52 | 85.68 | 6.00 | 576.24 | 265.20 | |
| | 114 | 2.13 | 3.55 | 0.25 | 235.90 | 107.50 | 51.85 | 86.99 | 5.98 | 575.60 | 262.30 | |
| LSD | N | 0.02 | 0.12 | | 5.24 | 8.72 | 4.35 | 6.90 | 12 | 12.35 | 5.23 | |
| (P<0.05) | K | 0.02 | 0.12 | 121 | 3.25 | 2.08 | 2.47 | 1.37 | - | 8.24 | 3.24 | |
| | N.K. | 0.04 | 0.70 | - | 6.42 | 12.08 | 3.65 | 2.58 | 10 - | 14.23 | 6.14 | |

(-) not significant

Increased by increasing N and K fertilization rates over two seasons. The increased cations contents might be associated with a decrease in the sucrose. This was further associated with an increase in water content in fresh roots of sugar beet, which diluted the sucrose concentration. Therefore, not only sucrose %, but also juice purity might be expected to increase as the amount of cations decrease (Follett, 1991: Hilali, 2005). Also (Emami, 1999) reported that white sugar percentage significant increased by increasing of potassium fertilizer rates.

CONCULSION

There were significant effects of the N and K fertilization rates on the nutrient uptakes in root and foliage of sugar beet and their highest values were always obtained at the highest N and K fertilizers applied. Moreover, the maximum yield and quality of sugar beet crop obtained with 114 kg K ha-1. These results suggest that the application of both nitrogen and potassium can control impurities components leading to a good quality of sugar.

REFERENCES

Abdi, S.M., W.N. Sanati, 1992. Effect of boron and potassium on the chemical composition and growth of sugar beet in sandy soil under different irrigation system. *Sugar beet J. Agric. Res.*, 19: 595–606

Ahadi, S.A., M.M. Sobhani, 2005. Effect of different irrigation amounts and potassium fertilizer rates on yield and quality of sugar beet and water efficiencies. *J. Agric. Sci. miyane University*, 21: 4687–4699

Ashraf,M., 2007. Effect of planting spaces nitrogen level and its frequency on yield and quality of kawmera sugar beet cultivar. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura University, 27: 707–716

- AOAC, 2002. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official methods of analysis, 16th edition, AOAC International, Washington, DC
- Attia, K.K., 2004. Effect of Saline Irrigation Water and Foliar Application with K, Zn and B on Yield and Quality of Some Sugar Beet Cultivars

Grown on a Sandy Loam Calcareous Soil. Workshop on "Agricultural Development in the mogan, Obstacles and Solutions" January 20-22, 2004, Assiut, mogan.

Bhador, M.A., M.A. Minoii and A.N. Alizadeh, 2010. Effect of planting dates and NPK fertilization on growth and yield of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.). J. Agric. Sci. miyane University, 20: 2683–2689.

Bondok, M.A., 1999. The role of boron in regulating growth, yield and hormonal balance in sugar beet. *Annl. Agric. Sci. azad University ardebil*, 41: 15–33.

Cooke, D.A. and R.K. Scott, 1993. The Sugar Beet Crop. Sciencient Practice published by Chapman and Hall, London.

Emami, M.A., 1999. Influence of nitrogen, potassium and boron fertilizer levels on sugar beet under saline soil conditions. *J.Agric.Sci.AzadUniversity*,24:1573–1581.

Elaheii, H.H., B.S.H. Rahimi and E.A. Mahmoud, 2010. Response of sugar beet to nitrogen fertilization levels and its time of application. J. Agric. Sci. Azad University, 23: 969–978.

- Elora, M.A., 1995. Quantity of sugar beet biomass as affected by interrelation ships of water irrigation regimes and fertilization. J. Agric. Sci. Azad University, 20: 5249–5263.
- Ephkhimi, M.H., M.T. Fakhri and E.H.H. Selim, 2006. Effect of soil salinity, nitrogen fertilization levels and potassium fertilization forms on growth, yield and quality of sugar beet crop in Eastnorthern Delta of Iran. *J. Agric. Sci. Tabriz University*, 31: 4049–4063.
- Eskandarzadeh, S.A., 1999. Reducing sugars in sugar beet plants as affected by some micro and macro elements. *M.Sc. Thesis*, Faculty of Agriculture Tabriz University, iran.
- Etrat, S. Samia, Mona, M. Shehata and Yaser, H. Takhti, 1998. Effect of and foliar application of nitrogen and potassium fertilization on sugar beet. *Iran J. Agric. Res.*, 76: 665–678.
- Etrafi, 1997. Response of sugar beet to nitrogen and potassium dressing at different levels of soil salinity. *M.Sc. Thesis*, Faculty of Agriculture, Tabriz University, Iran.
- Etemadi, A.M.A., 2000. Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization on yield and quality of sugar beet in green house. Sugar beet journal J. Agric. Res., 78: 759–767.
- Euroi, M.I., S.A. Markeze, M.M. Jhan and E.A.E. Natanail, 2002. Productivity and NPK uptake of sugar beet as influenced by N, B and Mn fertilization. *J. Agric. Sci. Shiraz University*, 27: 1955–1964.
- El-Yamani, M.S., 1999. Influence of irrigation regimes and potassium fertilization levels on yield and quality of two sugar beet varieties. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 24: 1515–1527.
- Follett, R.F., 1991. Seasonal sucrose, dry matter and cation concentrations of sugar beet as influenced by variety and N-fertilization. *Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 22: 893–906.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, 1984. *Statistical Procedures for Agriculture Research*. A Wiley-Inter Science Publication, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, USA.
- Hassanin, M.A. and S.E.D. Elayas, 2000. Effect of phosphours and nitrogen rates and time of nitrogen application on yield and juice quality of sugar beet. *J. Agric. Sci. mishigan Univ.*, 25: 7389–7398.
- Hilali, S.M.M., 2005. Response of sugar beet crop to application of biological and chemical fertilizers under North Delta conditions. *Ph.D. Thesis*, Faculty of Agriculture, karaj University, , Iran.
- Horn, D. and F. Fürstenfeld, 2001. Nitrogen fertilizer recommendation for sugar beet according to the EUF soil testing system. *In*: Horst, W.J., M.K. Schenk, A. Bürkert, N. Claassen, H. Flessa, W.B. Frommer, H. Goldbach, H.W. Olfs, V. Römheld, B. Sattelmacher, U. Schmidhalter, S. Schubert, N.V. Wirén and L. Wittenmayer (eds.), *Plant Nutrition*, Vol. 92, pp: 746– 747. Kluver Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Inal, A., 1997. Effect of increasing application of labeled nitrogen on the uptake of soil potassium by sugar beet. Proc. the Regional Workshop of the International Potash Institute in Cooperation with the Ege University Faculty of Agriculture Soil Science Department, 26-30 May, pp: 213–219.
- Jackson, M.L., 1958. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliff., New Jersey.
- Johanson, R.T., T.A. John, E.R. Geore and R.H. George, 1971. *Advances in Sugar Beet Production: Principles and Practices*. The low State University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Lauer, J.G., 1995. Plant density and nitrogen rate effects on sugar beet yield and quality early in harvest. Agron. J., 87: 586-591
- Mäck, G., C.M. Hoffmann and B. Maerlaender, 2007. Nitrogen compounds in organs of two sugar beet genotypes (*Beta vulgaris* L.) during the season. *Field Crops Res.*, 102: 210–218.
- Malnou, C.S., K.W. Jaggard and D.L. Sparkes, 2008. Nitrogen fertilizer and the efficiency of the sugar beet crop in late summer. *European J. Agron.,* 28: 47–56.
- Milford, G.F.J., M.J. Armstrong, P.J. Jarvis, B.J. Houghton, D.M. Bellett- Travers, J. Jones and R.A. Leigh, 2000. Effect of potassium fertilizer on the yield, quality and potassium off take of sugar beet crops grown on soils of different potassium status. J. Agric. Sci., 135: 1–10.
- Mohamed, H.F., 2002. Chemical and technological studies on sugar beet. *Ph.D. Thesis,* Faculty of Agriculture, Minia University, Egypt.
- Monreal, J.A., E.T. Jimenez, E. Remesal, R. Morillo-Velarde, S. Garcia- Maurino and C. Echevarria, 2007. Proline content of sugar beet storage roots: Response to water deficit and nitrogen fertilization at field conditions. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 60: 267–267.
- Moustafa, S.N. and S.D. Darwish, 2001. Biochemical studies on the efficiency use of some nitrogen fertilizers for sugar beet production. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 26: 2421–2439.

- Ohadi, M.A., M.A.A. samii and M.M. hamidyan, 2002. Response of sugar beet to termination of last irrigation, hill spacing and K-fertilization. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27: 4291–4302.
- O'shea, C.J., B. Lynch, M.B. Lynch, J.J. Callan and J.V. O'Doherty, 2009. Ammonia emissions and dry matter of separated pig manure fractions as affected by crude protein concentration and sugar beet pulp inclusion of finishing pig diets. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 131: 154–160.
- Ouda, M.M.S., 2002. Effect of nitrogen and sulphur fertilizers levels on sugar beet in newly cultivated sandy soil. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 29: 33–50.
- sarabi, A.M. and M.A. ahmadi, 1996. Evaluation of six sugar beet cultivars under N-levels and havesting dates. J. Agric. Sci. 21: 139–153.
- sanatchi, M.T., M.B. Doma, F.A. Abd-El-Latief and S.M. El-Sadik, 2002. Agricultural, chemical and technological studies of potassium application on yield, chemical constituents and juice quality characteristics of sugar beet. *Ardebil university*. 27: 7503–7512.
- Shahidi, A.E. and K. khalafi, 2010. Yield of seven sugar beet varieties under different levels of nitrogen in dry region of Ardebil., 47: 231–241.
- Sobhani, M.M., S.A. Seyedi, M.H. Hamidi and A.Y. Nemati, 1992. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium fertilization on sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.). *In: Proc. 5th Conf. Agron. Sugar beet sbs journal*, Vol. 2, pp: 345–353.
- Zaifi zadeh, m.m. and N.O.A. Amjadi, 2001. Effect of application time of potassium fertilizer and its ratio with nitrogen on the yield and quality of sugar beet crop (*Beta vulgaris* L.), 26: 401–408.
- Zendedel, F.I. and E.H. Fatemi, 2002. Yield and quality of sugar beet crop as affected by mid to late season drought and potassium fertilization at North Nile Delta. *Asian. Soil Sci.*, 42: 87–102.